IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

Thomas Darby,) C/A No. 5:06-432-CMC-BM
Plaintiff,	OPINION and ORDER
v.)
County of Orangeburg,)
Defendant.)
)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint relating to an alleged increase in property taxes.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation. On March 22, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this matter be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed a "Response" to the Report and Recommendation on April 3, 2006.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for

5:06-cv-00432-CMC Date Filed 04/17/06 Entry Number 8 Page 2 of 2

clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district

court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear

error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's "Response," the court agrees with the

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and

Recommendation by reference in this Order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice and without

issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina April 17, 2006

C:\temp\notesB0AA3C\06-432 Darby v. County of Orangeburg e adopt rr dism wo svc failure to state claim.wpd